

Meritorious Journal of Social Sciences & Management Vol. 06, No. 1 (2023) Journal homepage: <u>http://journal.mgp.org.pk/index.php/MJSSM</u>



Impact of Imports, Exports and Exchange Rate on Economic Growth of Pakistan Tehreem Mehak

ARTICLE DETAILS	ABSTRACT
History	This study examines the impact of exports, imports, and the exchange rate
Received format:	on Pakistan's economic growth. A time series of annual data from 1980 to
Oct, 2022	2020 was employed in the study. The study used GDP as dependent and
Available Online:	imports, exports exchange rate and inflation are used as independent
Mar, 2023	variables. The study also applied ADF unite root test for stationary purpose and OLS is applies for the findings. The results of OLS indicate that,
Keywords	Imports and Exports put positive impact while exchange rate and inflation put negative impact on economic growth in Pakistan. The study suggests
ADF, Imports, Exports,	that, high imports will lead to less growth of economy. The study also
Exchange Rate, Inflation	confirms the Export-led-growth hypothesis.

¹National College of Business Administration and Economics (Multan Campus)

1. Introduction

Foreign trade is a trade of goods and services among different countries. It is ordinary form of international trade and played very imperative role in economic growth of any country. Economic welfare of any country is depending on the increment in the gross domestic product (GDP). Any type of changes positively and negatively GDP will lead to decrease or increase There are many factors include in GDP growth that effect economic growth, Imports, Exports and exchange rate are also those factors that affect the economic growth. Development economists took an attention in the significance of imports and exports in the procedure of development. It allows producers and seller to seek out for produced goods internationally. A country cannot depend on the domestically manufacture products only but turn to products in a different place.

Exports are characterizing goods and services that are produce domestically and sold these goods and services to other country. Exports are viewed as a growth engine for the economy. Export growth will lead to increased economic activity. In order to determine the relationship between exports and economic growth, a number of researches have been done. This relationship is called Export-led-growth and growth-led-export. Many researchers follow the ELGH hypothesis. These researchers include, Different studies like Michaely (1977),

Imports is also affect the growth of economy, imports means buy goods and services from other countries. It is very important factor. Imports would be good or bad for economic growth; high imports will lead to trade deficit and slow down the performance of the economy. Many researchers show positive impact of imports for economic growth in Pakistan. These researchers include Ali, I., Khan, I., Ali, H., Baz, K., Zhang, Q., Khan, A., & Huo, X. (2020). Shoukat et al (2018), Ahmed et al (2018), Fatemah and Qayyum (2018). The table below shows the imports data of Pakistan, it can be seen that imports is greater than exports.

Years	Imports	Exports	Years	Imports	Exports
2011	40.52	29.83	2016	45.03	25.48
2012	45.79	27.81	2017	53.52	25.11
2013	46.37	30.69	2018	60.76	26.66
2014	45.39	29.91	2019	56.53	28.15
2015	46.13	28.69	2020	43.85	25.25

Pakistan Imports and Exports in Billions US \$

The above table shows the data of exports and imports of Pakistan from 2011 to 2020, we can see Pakistan's imports is greater than exports in these years. One domestic currency will be exchange for another is called exchange rate. It is very significant macroeconomic variable in international trade it also established the balance of trade. It affects the macroeconomic variables likeFDI, trade, inflation, remittances, capital flows and GDP etc. In economics, the relationship between the exchange rate and economic growth has long been a key topic. Besides foreign trade and economic growth, the currency rate has a direct impact on both.

The purpose of this article is to examine the impact of exports, imports, and the exchange rate on Pakistan's economic growth. Due to Pakistan's high exchange rate, the country is experiencing a trade imbalance, which implies that imports are more than exports, causing the economy to slow down. The study analysed annual time series data from 1980 to 2020 from the Islamic Republic of Pakistan to determine the relationship between them. The provided study is organised into many sections: the introduction, section two's relevant evaluations of other studies, section three's theoretical framework, section four's data and methods, section five's results, and section six's policy suggestions.

2. Literature Review

Gull et al (2020), investigate the effect of export along with Government spending on education on growth of economic in Pakistan by utilizing Annual data from 1972 to 2018. The results of AutoRegressive Distributed Lag approach show positive impact of export along with Government spending on Education on GDP growth in Pakistan. How farm exports and exchange rates effect Pakistan's economic growth is examined by Huo et al (2020). The study used time series data spanning the years 1980-2017. Imports and exports have a positive and considerable impact on the economy, while the exchange rate has a positive but negligible impact. Matuzeviciute et al (2020), exploring the effect of balance of Trade on GDP growth in countries of European Union. A panel data was estimated from1998 to 2018 for analysis. The research applied Ordinary Least Square (OLS) method of multi-component regression analysis. The result of OLS method shows that deficit in trade balance have negative impact on economic growth.

In Pakistan, Shoukat et al. (2018) examine the relationship between Exports, Imports, and Economic Growth. From 1972 to 2009, the study used annual time series data. Error Correlation Model (ECM) demonstrates that imports, exports, and economic growth have a long-term relationship. Imports and exports, according to the report, are vital to Pakistan's economic progress. Ahmed et al (2018), observe the effect of rate of Inflation, Imports, Exports and Tax on Economic Growth in Pakistan. The study used annual data from 1977 to 2016. The study also used Johenson co-integration test for the purpose. The result of Johenson co-integration test shows negative relationship of inflation, imports and taxes on GDP growth and Positive relationship of exports on economic growth in Pakistan. The study utilized the data from 1971 to 2016. The study also applied Johnson

Maximum Likelihood test for the findings. The results of the study show that export put positive impact with labor force, investment and domestic credit to private sector on growth of economy in Pakistan.

When it comes to Panama, Bakari and Mabrouki (2017) explore the impact of exports and import on economic growth. From 1980 to 2015, the study used annual data. It uses Johansen cointegration, Vector Auto Regression, and Granger-Causality tests to examine the relationship between variables. According to the study's findings, imports and exports have no bearing on economic growth in Panama. Chibaya (2016), measured the effect of foreign trade on Economic Growth in Malawi from 1961 to 2016. The study also used Ordinary Least Square method (OLS). The result of OLS method shows that exports and imports has positive effect on the GDP in Malawi. While other macroeconomic variables like labor force and gross capital formation also put positive impact on economic growth in Malawi. Examining the impact of trade openness on Egypt's economic growth, Giza (2015). Throughout the study, the authors used time series data from 1980 through 2015. Model of Autoregressive-Distributed Lag (ARDL) was used in the research investigation. The long-term and short-term results demonstrate a positive correlation between trade openness and economic growth. As a result of the study, it was determined that trade openness promotes economic growth.

3. Theoretical Framework

According to traditional theory of foreign trade; growth gains from trade at the country level by investment on modernization, specialization, productivity enhancement, or improved resource allocation. According to Ricardo's theory foreign allows country change limited trade to its resources to more well-organized sectors. The Solow's growth model of neoclassical growth considers technological change as exogenous; as a result, trade policies do not effect economic growth. Though, new growth theories consider technological advancement as an endogenous variable; and shows that trade policies can be united with those on foreign trade. The existence of the connection among openness trade and economic growth has the focus of significant debate. Another theory of foreign trade is Hecksher-Ohlin's theory. This hypothesis states that a country will export products with lower production costs and abundant raw materials. The exporting country will benefit from this, as it will improve its income and economic growth.

4. Data and Methodology

The information for the dependent and independent variables is expressed in logarithmic form. The calculated equation appears as follows:

The econometric model is Log – Linear Model, in this model the Dependent variable is in Log form and indipendent variables in their orignal scale. The Multiple Regression Model of the study is:

$$LGDP = \beta_0 + \beta_1 IM + \beta_2 EX + \beta_3 ER + \beta_4 INF + \mu_i$$

LGDP = Log of GDP

IM = Imports

 $\mathbf{E}\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{E}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{ports}$

ER = Exchange Rate

INF = Inflation Rate

 $\mu_i = Error Term$

5. Results and Discussion

5.1 Descriptive Statistics

In this section of the study, analysis of There will be empirical data given.

	LGDP	IM	EX	ER	INF
Mean:	1.393570	19.13873	13.30976	56.50010	8.207073
Median:	1.578154	19.67600	13.33000	53.64800	7.840000
Maximum:	2.323955	23.31000	17.27000	160.0500	20.28000
Minimum:	-0.693147	12.24400	8.240000	9.900000	2.520000
Std. Dev:	0.644334	2.732564	2.397715	39.49306	3.809431
Skewness:	-1.331374	-0.738383	-0.226971	0.787141	0.654290
Kurtosis:	4.562347	3.010477	2.271346	2.889505	3.625393
Observations:	41	41	41	41	41

Sources: Calculation by Using E-views 9

The summing up of descriptive statistics of observations of 41 are given below in the table. The first row shows the average of LGDP, IM, EX, ER and INF is (1.393570), (19.13873), (13.30976), (56.50010) and (8.207073) respectively. Second to the last row of the table, you'll find the skewness values. Skewness is a measure of the asymmetry andinjustice in the data distribution as compared to the mean. The data distribution is if the bell curve's centre and top are the mean, the distribution is skewed, and if the mean median and mode are the same. LGDP, IM, and EX are all negatively skewed in this table because their mean values are lower than their median values. ER and INF, on the other hand, are positively skewed because their mean values are higher than their median values.

Kurtosis values are shown in the second-to-last row. The data's flatness and peakiness relative to the normal distribution are displayed. A steeply peaked probability distribution, Leptokurtic is defined as having a Kurtosis greater than 3. Kurtosis of less than 3 indicates flatness in the data distribution, which is known as platykurtic. In the table below, we can see that the values of EX and ER are fewer than 3, making them platykurtic variables. In contrast, the values of LGDP, LIM, and INF are more than 3, making them leptokurtic variables.

5.2 Pair – Wise Correlation:

Pair-Wise coefficient of correlation is widely used to identify multicollinearity between variables. The problem of multicollinearity is seen by the strong correlation coefficients of more than one variable.

Table: 2 Correlation Matrix

Variables	LGDP	IM	EX	ER	INF

LGDP:	1.000000				
IM:	0.115449	1.000000			
EX:	0.022572	-0.028315	1.000000		
ER:	-0.536877	-0.331584	-0.503597	1.000000	
INF:	-0.328541	0.498286	0.252945	-0.003032	1.000000
		Sources Coloulo	tion by Using E vis		

Sources: Calculation by Using E-views 9

All of the variables' correlation matrix is displayed in the table above. The results show that only ER and INF is negatively correlated with LGDP while IM and EX are positively correlated with LGDP, and there is no highly correlated variable; this shows that there is not exist multicolineaity problem.

5.3 Unit Root Test

The unit root test of Augmented Dickey Fuller (ADF) was used in the present investigation to determine if the data were stationary. The table below presents the results of the Augmented Dickey Fuller (ADF) unit root test.

Table: 3 Unit Root

Variables	At Level		At 1 st	At 1 st Difference	
	Intercept	Trend &	Intercept	Trend &	
		Intercept		Intercept	
LGDP	0.0950				1(0)
IM	0.0769				1(0)
EX			0.0000		1(1)
ER			0.0017		1(1)
INF	0.0472				1(0)

Sources: Calculation by Using E-views 9

As show in the table above, LGDP, IM and INF are stationary at level and intercept and integrated as 1(0) and LGDP and IM are stationary at 10% significance level and integrated as 1(1) INF is stationary at 5%. EX and ER are stationary at 1st difference and intercept.

5.4 Auto – Correlation:

The autocorrelation problem is test by Breusch – Godfrey Serial Correlation LM test. The table below shows the results of Breusch - Godfrey Serial Correlation LM test.

Table: 4

Breusch – Godfrey Serial Correlation LM Test		
F – Statistic	0.228187	
Prob.	0.7972	
Source: Calculation by Using E views 9		

Source: Calculation by Using E-views 9

Table: 5

The autocorrelation issue is tackled by using the lag of the dependent variable. The probability value is negligible, demonstrating the absence of autocorrelation.

5.5 Heteroskedasticity Test:

The Breusch-Godfrey test is used to assess the heteroscedasticity problem. The findings of the Breusch-Godfrey test for heteroscedasticity are displayed in the table below.

Heteroskedasticity Test B	Breusch – Pagan – Godfrey		
F – Statistics	0.974535		
Prob.	0.4473		
Source: Calculation by Using E-views 9			

The findings of the Breusch-Pagan-Godfrey test for heteroskedasticity are displayed in the above table. The probability value is negligible, demonstrating that the data are homogeneous.

5.6 Empirical Ordinary Least Square (OLS) Results:

This table displays how independent factors affect dependent variables.

Variables	Coefficients	Std. Error	t-Statistics	Prob.
IM	0.038874	0.031526	1.233067	0.2258
EX	0.053329	0.026963	1.977871	0.0559
ER	-0.002760	0.002034	-1.357215	0.1834
INF	-0.070305	0.025955	-2.708774	0.0104
LGDP(-1)	0.442509	0.184675	2.396144	0.0220
\mathbb{R}^2 :	0.4317500	Durbin-Watson Test:	1	.99945
Adjusted R ² :	0.366807			

Table: 6 OLS Results

Sources: Calculation by Using E-views 9

The findings indicate that the value of coefficient of imports shows the positive but insignificant impact on economic growth. It shows that 1% increase in imports, (0.038874) unit increase in Gross Domestic Product. The study implies that high imports will lead to slow down the performance of economic growth. Present study supports the judgment of Aslam, A., Hakeem, A., & Ahmed, W. (2018).

The value of coefficient of exports show positive and significance impact on economic growth. If 1 % increases in exports, (0.053329) units increase in gross domestic product. The present study support the findings of Bushra Kheir, V. (2018), shows positive impact on economic growth in Egypt.

6. Conclusion

The study's primary goal is to determine the impact of imports, exports, and the exchange rate on Pakistan's economic growth. From 1980 through 2020, the study employed annual time series data. A literature review is conducted in the study to examine imports, exports, exchange rates, and economic growth. A discussion of important theories is included in the paper as well. It used the Augmented Dickey Fuller Unit Root Test for stationary purposes and the Ordinary Least Square (OLS) approach for the results of the investigation. Imports had a positive but negligible impact on Pakistan's economic growth, while exports had a positive and large impact. Inflation and the exchange rate had a negative impact on Pakistan's economic growth.

Based on the findings, the study recommends that imports and exports are key determinant of economic growth, but high level of imports will lead to less economic performance in Pakistan. Exports processing zone units should be established. The study also suggests that government and policy maker should create stable rate of exchange and control on inflation.

REFERENCES

Ali, G., & Li, Z. (2016). An Empirical Investigation on the Role of exports, imports and its Determinants in Foreign Trade of Pakistan. *Information Management and Business Review*, 8(6 (I)), 39-58.

Ali, I., Khan, I., Ali, H., Baz, K., Zhang, Q., Khan, A., & Huo, X. (2020). The impact of agriculture trade and exchange rate on economic growth of Pakistan: an NARDL and asymmetric analysis approach. *Ciência Rural*, *50*.

Ali, Q., Shaikh, M., Shah, A. B., & Shaikh, F. M. (2017). Relationship between Export and Economic Growth in Pakistan by Using OlS Technique. *International Journal of Case Studies*, *6*, 4.

Aslam, A., Hakeem, A., & Ahmed, W. (2018). Impact of Inflation Rate, Imports, Exports and Tax on the Economic Growth of Pakistan. *International Journal of Research and Scientific Innovation*, 51-56.

Bakari, S. (2016). Impact of exports and imports on economic growth in Canada: empirical analysis based on causality.

Bakari, S., & Mabrouki, M. (2016). The Relationship among Exports, Imports and Economic Growth in Turkey.

Bakari, S., & Mabrouki, M. (2017). Impact of exports and imports on economic growth: new evidence from Panama. *Journal of Smart Economic Growth*, 2(1), 67-79.

Blavasciunaite, D., Garsviene, L., & Matuzeviciute, K. (2020). Trade balance effects on economic growth: Evidence from European Union Countries. *Economies*, 8(3), 54.

Bushra Kheir, V. (2018). The Impact of Trade Openness on Economic Growth in the Case of Egypt. *The Impact of Trade Openness on Economic Growth in the Case of Egypt (December 23, 2018).*

Fatemah, Ambreen, and Abdul Qayyum. "Modeling the impact of exports on the economic growth of Pakistan." *Turkish Economic Review* 5.1 (2018): 56-64.

Hamdan, B. S. (2016). The effect of exports and imports on economic growth in the Arab countries: A panel data approach. *Journal of Economics Bibliography*, *3*(1), 100-107.

Kausar, R., Waseem, M., & Gull, S. (2020). Modeling the Impact of Export along with Government Education Spending on Economic Growth of Pakistan: An ARDL and Bound Test Approach. *Journal of Contemporary Macroeconomic Issues*, *1*(2), 64-76.

Khan, D., Azra, M. U., Zaman, N., Ahmad, E., & Shoukat, Y. (2012). Exports, imports and economic growth nexus: Time series evidence from Pakistan. *World applied sciences journal*, *18*(4), 538-542.